

**CENTRAL PUGET SOUND
GROWTH MANAGEMENT HEARINGS BOARD
STATE OF WASHINGTON**

KENNETH and SHARON GAIN,)	
)	Case No. 99-3-0019
)	
Petitioner,)	<i>(Gain)</i>
)	
v.)	
)	
PIERCE COUNTY,)	ORDER ON PETITIONERS’
)	MOTION FOR CLARIFICATION
Respondent)	
)	
Cascadia Development Corporation,)	
)	
Intervenor.)	
)	
)	

On January 28, 2000 the Central Puget Sound Growth Management Hearings Board (the **Board**) issued “Order on Dispositive Motions” (the **Order on Dispositive Motions**).

On February 1, 2000 the Board received “Motion for Clarification of Order on Dispositive Motion” (**Petitioners’ Motion for Clarification**).

As stated on page 16 of the Order on Dispositive Motions, that order constitutes a final order. This characterization is consistent with WAC 242-02-040(3) (b), which provides that a final decision is “[a]ny other written finding, determination or order of the board which finally determines a legal right, duty, or other legal interest of the parties in the case and which clearly states such written finding, determination or order that it is a final decision subject to appeal to superior court.”

Also as stated on page 16 of the Order on Dispositive Motions, the provisions for filing a motion for reconsideration are set out at WAC 242-02-832.

So ORDERED this 3rd day of February, 2000.

CENTRAL PUGET SOUND GROWTH MANAGEMENT HEARINGS BOARD

Joseph W. Tovar, AICP
Presiding Officer