

**BEFORE THE WESTERN WASHINGTON GROWTH
MANAGEMENT HEARINGS BOARD**

JOHN E. DIEHL, KERRY HOLM, GORDON JACOBSON,)	
and VERN RUTTER, individually, and as members of the)	No. 95-2-0073
MASON COUNTY COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT)	(HCA)
COUNCIL (MCCDC),)	
)	ORDER RE:
Petitioners,)	MOTION FOR
)	CLARIFICATION
v.)	
)	
)	
MASON COUNTY,)	
)	
Respondent,)	
)	
and)	
)	
PETER OVERTON, DONALD B. PAYNE, McDONALD)	
LAND COMPANY, HUNTER CHRISTMAS TREES,)	
HUNTER FARMS, SKOOKUM LUMBER COMPANY,)	
MANKE LUMBER COMPANY and MASON COUNTY)	
PRIVATE PROPERTY ALLIANCE (MCPPA),)	
)	
Intervenors,)	
)	
)	

This is an order pursuant to RCW 36.70A.302(6) re: a motion for clarification. We note the following in response to the May 18, 2001, motion from Mason County. We had previously held that a decision regarding motions for reconsideration becomes the final decision and order (FDO) for purposes of court appeal. *Wells v. Whatcom County*, Case #97-2-0030(RO 2-17-98). The same holds true for a decision regarding motions for consideration of a compliance order. This fact is alluded to on page four of our order on the motion for consideration, March 14, 2001, in which we say “this is a final order under RCW 36.70A.300(5) for purposes of appeal”. In his

motion, the county's Mr. Sauerlender notes county planner Fink's remark that the March order (on reconsideration) reset the date for the County's completion of our requirements. Mr. Fink was correct. In instances such as this, an order re: motion for reconsideration "restarts the clock". Compliance is due 180 days from March 14, 2001, or September 11, 2001.

So ORDERED this 5TH day of June, 2001.

WESTERN WASHINGTON GROWTH MANAGEMENT HEARINGS BOARD

Les Eldridge
Board Member

William H. Nielsen
Board Member