

BEFORE THE WESTERN WASHINGTON GROWTH
MANAGEMENT HEARINGS BOARD

1	FRIENDS OF SKAGIT COUNTY,)	No. 95-2-0075
2)	
3)	
4	Petitioner,)	ORDER DENYING
5)	MOTION FOR A
6	vs.)	FINDING OF
7	SKAGIT COUNTY,)	INVALIDITY OF
8)	SKAGIT COUNTY'S
9	Respondent.)	PLANNED UNIT
)	DEVELOPMENT
)	ORDINANCE

10 On December 12, 1996, a Motions Hearing was held at the Skagit County Court House.
11 The motion, from Friends of Skagit County (Friends) received November 8, 1996,
12 requested us to issue a determination of invalidity on Skagit County's PUD Ordinance,
13 SCC 14.04.140. Present for Friends of Skagit County was Gerald Steel. Present for the
14 County were John Moffat, Chief Civil Deputy Prosecutor, and Jay Derr of the firm of
15 Buck and Gordon. Les Eldridge and William H. Nielsen were present for the Board.
16 Nan Henriksen was not present but listened to taped transcripts of the hearing.

17 Skagit County's request to allow additional evidence into the record was granted.
18 Exhibit #135, (planning and permit center staff notice from Roxanne Michael) and
19 Exhibit #135, (applications for plats and short plats in Skagit County after December 26,
20 1995), were added to the record.

21 Friends then argued that failure of the PUD Ordinance to protect mineral lands from
22 encroachment constituted substantial interference with the goals of the Growth
23 Management Act. They characterized the County's approach as "wait for an egregious
24 PUD to be filed", rather than by an ordinance eliminating the possibility of a PUD which
25 might encroach on mineral lands.
26

Western Washington
Growth Management Hearings Board
McCleary Mansion
111 West 21st Avenue, Suite #1
Olympia, Washington 98504-0953
Phone: 360-664-8966

1 The County responded by noting that there had been no PUD applications since this
2 Board's February 7, 1996, Finding of Invalidity in Skagit County; that no PUDs were
3 allowed in one unit per 2 1/2 acre zoning nor in natural resource lands, and that current
4 administrative policy provided no density bonus for PUDs. Friends countered that the
5 administrative policy does not prohibit density bonuses in the rural five-acre zone.

6
7 CONCLUSION

8 We recognize the possibility that PUDs in rural lands may still encroach on mineral
9 lands. Nonetheless, no such activity, according to this record, has occurred in the last
10 11 months. Accordingly, Petitioner has failed to show substantial interference with the
11 goals of the Act. The motion is denied.

12
13 SO ORDERED this 21st day of January, 1997.


14 WESTERN WASHINGTON GROWTH MANAGEMENT HEARINGS BOARD

15
16 

17 Les Eldridge
18 Board Member

19
20 

21 William H. Nielsen
22 Board Member

23
24 

25 Nan A. Henriksen
26 Board Member