



evidence in this record that the County was attempting to circumvent the procedural requirements of RCW 90.58 by adopting a CP policy instead of an amendment to the SMP.

Secondly, Petitioner presupposes that the CP policy was a *de facto* amendment to the SMP. CCC 18.330.020 provides that designated shoreline areas of the County are "to be combined with zoning that has been applied to such areas." Under the Clark County legislative scheme, the designated shoreline areas and the SMP regulations applicable thereto, are subject to and independent of, the zoning districts established by Clark County's GMA actions. Thus, a change in the zoning does not *de facto* amend the SMP.

The remainder of Petitioner's arguments were fully addressed in the order on dispositive motion and need not be repeated here.

The motion is denied.

So ORDERED this 15th day of September 1997.

WESTERN WASHINGTON GROWTH MANAGEMENT HEARINGS BOARD

---

William H. Nielsen  
Board Member

---

Les Eldridge  
Board Member

---

Nan A. Henriksen

Board Member