

1 BEFORE THE WESTERN WASHINGTON GROWTH MANAGEMENT HEARINGS BOARD

2  
3 PEOPLE FOR A LIVEABLE COMMUNITY, JIM  
4 LINDSAY, et al.,

Case No.: 03-2-0009c

5  
6 Petitioners,

**ORDER FINDING  
COMPLIANCE**

7 v.

8 JEFFERSON COUNTY,

9 Respondent.

10  
11 THIS Matter comes before the Board on a compliance hearing to determine the County's  
12 compliance with the Board's Final Decision and Order, issued on August 22, 2003.<sup>1</sup> The  
13 compliance hearing was held telephonically on March 30, 2005. The County was  
14 represented by Chief Civil Deputy Prosecuting Attorney, David Alvarez, and Petitioner,  
15 People for A Liveable Community (PLC), was represented by Ms. Nancy Dorgan. Board  
16 members Margery Hite and Gayle Rothrock attended the compliance hearing telephonically,  
17 as well.  
18

19  
20 The County filed its Compliance Report on Behalf of Respondent Jefferson County on  
21 March 9, 2005. In its Compliance Report, the County demonstrates how it revised the  
22 language of the Jefferson County comprehensive plan as directed by the Board in its Final  
23 Decision and Order, to reflect that the boundaries for type RCW 36.70A.070(5)(d)(i) limited  
24 areas of more intensive rural development (LAMIRD) at issue in this case have been finally  
25 designated. People for A Liveable Community, represented by Ms. Nancy Dorgan, agrees  
26 that the County has achieved compliance in this case and submitted a letter to the Board on  
27 March 24, 2005, indicating that PLC has no objection to a finding of compliance.  
28  
29  
30

31  
32 <sup>1</sup> The Final Decision and Order in this case was modified upon reconsideration on September 19, 2003, but this modification was retracted at direction of the Superior Court upon review on December 3, 2004.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32

**ORDER**

There being no objection to a finding of compliance in this case, and the County having revised its comprehensive plan provisions to provide that the designation of the logical outer boundaries for type RCW 36.70A.070(5)(d)(i) LAMIRDs have been finally determined<sup>2</sup>, the Board finds that the challenged legislative enactments in this case are IN COMPLIANCE with the Growth Management Act and hereby CLOSES this case.

This is a final order for purposes of appeal (RCW 36.70A.300(5)) and reconsideration (WAC 242-02-832)

Entered this 30<sup>th</sup> day of March 2005.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Margery Hite, Board Member

\_\_\_\_\_  
Gayle Rothrock, Board Member

<sup>2</sup> PLC notes that the only type (d)(i) LAMIRDs at issue in this case were commercial/industrial LAMIRDs.